



**Figure 4.** Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi_m$ , and of the product  $\chi_m T$  for the compounds  $[\text{NiMn}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_2)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{7.5}]_\infty$ , (Ni(II)-Mn(II)), and  $[\text{CuMn}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_2)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{7.5}]_\infty$ , (Cu(II)-Mn(II)). The molar weight chosen is the weight of the above dimeric entities. Diamagnetic corrections were evaluated at  $280 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $270 \times 10^{-6}$   $\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ , respectively. Ni(II)-Mn(II):  $\chi_m$  ( $\square$ ),  $\chi_m T$  ( $\nabla$ ); Cu(II)-Mn(II):  $\chi_m$  ( $\square$ ),  $\chi_m T$  ( $\blacktriangle$ ).

$\text{S}(2)\text{-Ni-S}(4) = 178.5$  ( $3^\circ$ ), and  $\text{S}(3)\text{-Ni-S}(4) = 92.5$  ( $2^\circ$ ) and  $\text{S}(1)\text{-Cu-S}(2) = 91.9$  ( $2^\circ$ ),  $\text{S}(1)\text{-Cu-S}(3) = 178.0$  ( $3^\circ$ ),  $\text{S}(1)\text{-Cu-S}(4) = 89.1$  ( $2^\circ$ ),  $\text{S}(2)\text{-Cu-S}(3) = 87.7$  ( $2^\circ$ ),  $\text{S}(2)\text{-Cu-S}(4) = 177.5$  ( $3^\circ$ ), and  $\text{S}(3)\text{-Cu-S}(4) = 91.5$  ( $2^\circ$ ). The manganese atoms stand back from each side of the glide planes ( $y = 0.3512$  (1) in both compounds) and are heptacoordinated to oxygen atoms located at the vertices of a nearly regular pentagonal bipyramid: four oxygen atoms from two dithiooxalate groups and one from water molecule  $w(3)$  are equatorial ( $69.0$  ( $4^\circ$ )  $\leq \text{O}_{\text{eq}}\text{-Mn-O}_{\text{eq}} \leq 75.9$  ( $4^\circ$ ) in **1** and  $69.0$  ( $5^\circ$ )  $\leq \text{O}_{\text{eq}}\text{-Mn-O}_{\text{eq}} \leq 75.7$  ( $5^\circ$ ) in **2**;  $\sum(\text{O}_{\text{eq}}\text{-Mn-O}_{\text{eq}}) = 360.5$  ( $20^\circ$ ) in both compounds); the axial positions are occupied by water molecules  $w(1)$  and  $w(2)$  ( $\text{O}_{\text{ax}}\text{-Mn-O}_{\text{ax}} = 178.0$  ( $4^\circ$ ) in **1** and  $178.4$  ( $5^\circ$ ) in **2**;  $86.1$  ( $4^\circ$ )  $\leq \text{O}_{\text{ax}}\text{-Mn-O}_{\text{eq}} \leq 94.2$  ( $4^\circ$ ) in **1** and  $86.1$  ( $5^\circ$ )  $\leq \text{O}_{\text{ax}}\text{-Mn-O}_{\text{eq}} \leq 95.0$  ( $5^\circ$ ) in **2**). The Mn-O bond lengths and the interatomic distances in the dithiooxalate groups do not differ significantly in either compound (Figure 3). Within a chain, the atoms of the dithiooxalate groups, water molecules  $w(3)$ , and nickel (copper) and manganese are almost coplanar with a mean plane approximately parallel to  $(10\bar{2})$ . Within a layer of chains, the planar groups  $\text{NiS}_4$  or  $\text{CuS}_4$  form stacks in which each of them is staggered with respect to the next one and is nearly perpendicular to the stacking direction  $[001]$ . The Ni-Ni and Cu-Cu separations are equal to  $3.662$  (1) and  $3.681$  (1) Å, respectively. The closest S-S separations between two successive chains range from  $3.913$  (7) to  $3.981$  (6) Å in **1** and from  $3.917$  (9) to  $3.951$  (9) Å in **2** and are therefore markedly larger than twice the sulfur van der Waals radius (1.85 Å). On the other hand, weak interchain hydrogen bonds involving water molecules  $w(1)$ ,  $w(2)$ , and  $w(3)$  and oxygen atoms  $\text{O}(3)$ ,  $\text{O}(1)$ , and  $\text{O}(2)$  are highly probable:  $\text{O}_w\text{-O} = 2.77$  (2),  $2.81$  (2), and  $2.78$  Å in **1** and  $2.77$  (2),  $2.87$  (2), and  $2.75$  (2) Å in **2**; however, this point cannot be discussed further since the hydrogen atoms were not located. The shortest contacts between a layer of chains and a layer of water molecules involve only water molecules:  $2.76$  (2) Å  $\leq \text{O}_w\text{-O}_w \leq 2.81$  (2) Å in **1** and  $2.71$  (2) Å  $\leq \text{O}_w\text{-O}_w \leq 2.86$  (2) Å in **2**. Within a layer of water molecules the shortest O-O distances range from  $2.65$  (2) to  $2.86$  (2) Å in **1** and from  $2.58$  (2) to  $2.84$  (2) Å in **2**.

The susceptibility vs. temperature curves of the Ni(II)-Mn(II) and Cu(II)-Mn(II) systems are shown in Figure 4. The magnetic behavior of Ni(II)-Mn(II) follows the Curie law expected for isolated Mn(II) ions down to 35 K ( $\chi_m T = 4.3\text{-}4.5$   $\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{K}$ ), in agreement with the planar surrounding of diamagnetic nickel(II) ions by sulfur. The decrease of  $\chi_m T$  below 35 K could be due to the effects of a weak antiferromagnetic interaction or zero-field splitting of Mn(II) in heptacoordinated symmetry.

The magnetic behavior of Cu(II)-Mn(II) is more peculiar. The curves  $\chi_m$  and  $\chi_m T$  seem to look like those of Ni(II)-Mn(II) but

the values are quite different: at 300 K,  $\chi_m T$  is smaller ( $4.0$   $\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{K}$ ) than expected for isolated Cu(II) and Mn(II) ions and even smaller than the value found for the Ni(II)-Mn(II) system.  $\chi_m T$  very sharply decreases upon cooling from 300 to 30 K and then sharply decreases below 30 K to  $\chi_m T = 1.3$   $\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{K}$ . These values and this behavior suggest that Cu(II) and Mn(II) are antiferromagnetically coupled. A complete interpretation of these magnetic data in terms of magnitude of interaction is not yet feasible. Indeed, the theoretical expression for the magnetic susceptibility of a chain of alternating  $1/2\text{-}5/2$  spins has never been derived. Nevertheless, if the interaction between nearest neighbor Cu(II) and Mn(II) atoms is antiferromagnetic, the ground state of the chain is nonmagnetic and  $\chi_m T$  should decrease continuously down to zero upon cooling to very low temperatures. This behavior contrasts with what is expected for an A-B heterobinuclear complex with  $S_A = 1/2$  and  $S_B = 5/2$ . In this latter case, when the temperature is low enough for the  $S = 3$  state to be totally depopulated,  $\chi_m T$  is constant and equal to  $2N\beta^2 g^2/k$  (i.e.,  $3.0$   $\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{K}$  if  $g = 2$ ).

This preliminary work demonstrates the feasibility of preparing structurally ordered magnetic bimetallic chains, in spite of the kinetic and entropic hindrances. Our groups are now engaged in further studies along similar lines.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported in part by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Délégation Générale à la Recherche Scientifique, and the Direction de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche. We thank J. Galy, Directeur de Recherche au CNRS, for helpful discussions and Professor O. Kahn for suggesting this exciting area of investigation.

**Supplementary Material Available:** Listings of atomic positional and thermal parameters for  $[\text{NiMn}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_2)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{7.5}]_\infty$  and  $[\text{CuMn}(\text{S}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_2)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{7.5}]_\infty$  (2 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

### Synthesis of Macrocyclic Peptide Thiolactones as Models of the Metastable Binding Sites of $\alpha_2$ -Macroglobulin and Complement Protein C3b

Shabbir A. Khan and Bruce W. Erickson\*

The Rockefeller University  
New York, New York 10021

Received August 10, 1981

A macrocyclic thiolactone ring is common to the metastable binding sites of two serum proteins, the protease inhibitor  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin<sup>1,2</sup> and the complement component C3b.<sup>3</sup> As shown in structure **1**, the macrocycle is believed to be a derivative of 1-thia-5,8,11-triazacyclopentadecane having a novel thioester bond between the cysteine thiol group and the side-chain carboxyl group of the second glutamic acid residue of Cys-Gly-Glu-Glu. The 15-membered ring contains three amide bonds, one thioester bond, and three chiral centers (3*R*, 9*S*, 12*S*; Cys and both Glu residues in the L configuration). This paper describes the synthesis and characterization of three macrocyclic peptide thiolactones (**1b,d,f**) as initial models of these metastable binding sites.

Proteolytic cleavage of complement protein C3 into C3a anaphylatoxin and the activated protein C3b exposes both the COOH-terminal inflammatory site<sup>4</sup> of C3a and the internal

(1) Sothrup-Jensen, L.; Petersen, T. E.; Magnuson, S. *FEBS Lett.* **1980**, *121*, 275-279.

(2) Howard, J. B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1981**, *78*, 2235-2239.

(3) Tack, B. F.; Harrison, R. A.; Janatova, J.; Thomas, M. L.; Prah, J. W. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1980**, *77*, 5764-5768.

(4) Caporale, L. H.; Tippett, P. S.; Erickson, B. W.; Hugli, T. E. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1980**, *255*, 10758-10763.



After acidolysis<sup>9</sup> of the Boc group, mixed anhydride coupling<sup>7</sup> of Ac-Gly and the resulting pentapeptide dimer (2:1 molar ratio, THF/DMF) gave the acetylated hexapeptide **6a**, Ac replacing Z, in 76% yield. The latter was reduced, activated, and cyclized to thiolactone **1e** in 55–60% yield as described<sup>11,18</sup> for the conversion of **6a** into **1c**.

The protected thiolactones **1a**, **1c**, and **1e** were deprotected (9:1 (v/v) HF/anisole, 0 °C, 15 min) and purified by reverse-phase liquid chromatography to furnish 10-mg quantities of the desired<sup>19</sup> macrocyclic thiolactones **1b**, **1d**, and **1f**. The yields over four steps were 16% from thiolester **4a** to **1b** by the first strategy and both 24% from dimeric hexapeptide **6a** to **1d** and 22% from **6a**, Ac replacing Z, to **1f** by the second strategy. In order to study the binding of thiolactone **1f** to biological particles,<sup>21</sup> tritiated **1f**, R = [<sup>3</sup>H]Ac-Gly (2960 cpm/nmol), was prepared from [<sup>3</sup>H]Ac-Gly and the pentapeptide dimer as described above.

These results (1) constitute the first chemical synthesis of the 1-thia-5,8,11-triazacyclopentadecane ring system, (2) present the first examples of reduction of a peptide disulfide with a trialkylphosphine,<sup>11</sup> and (3) introduce the formation of peptide thiolesters<sup>15</sup> and thiolactones<sup>18</sup> by coupling of cysteine thiol groups with 1-benzotriazolone esters.

The 300-MHz NMR spectrum<sup>22,23</sup> of macrocyclic thiolactone **1f** revealed that the chemical shifts of the  $\beta$ -methylene protons of Cys differ by 0.58 ppm and those of the  $\gamma$ -methylene protons of the second Glu differ by 0.20 ppm. In addition, the coupling constant between one of the Cys  $\beta$ -methylene protons and the  $\alpha$  proton is only 2 Hz. A plausible explanation for these large chemical shift differences and the small coupling constant is that the 15-membered thiolactone ring exists in a single, relatively rigid conformation.

Thiolactones **1d** and **1f** undergo hydrolytic ring opening about 2000 times faster than the acyclic model thiolester *N,S*-diacetylcysteine methylamide.<sup>24</sup> Macrocyclic thiolactone **1f** (half-life<sup>24</sup> 0.20 h) hydrolyzes about 10<sup>3</sup> times faster than the latent binding site of C3 (half-life<sup>24</sup> 186 h) but about 10<sup>7</sup> times slower than the metastable binding site of nascent C3b (estimated half-life<sup>5</sup> 30  $\mu$ s). The 15-membered thiolactone ring **1** is evidently necessary but not sufficient to explain the pronounced biological reactivity of the metastable binding site of human C3b.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Professors Brian T. Chait and Frank H. Field for the mass spectra, Professor David Cowburn

(19) The following thiolactones were homogeneous by thin-layer chromatography and reverse-phase liquid chromatography and gave acceptable mass spectral molecular weights, amino acid molar ratios, and elemental analyses. Thin-layer systems: A, 4:1:1 (v/v/v) 1-butanol/acetic acid/water; B, 23:10:3 (v/v/v) ethanol/acetic acid/water; C, 3:1:1:1 (v/v/v/v) 1-butanol/ethyl acetate/acetic acid/water. Reverse-phase conditions: 30-cm  $\mu$ Bondapak C<sub>18</sub> column was eluted isocratically with 2% CH<sub>3</sub>CN in water;  $k' = (t_{\text{comp}}/t_{\text{solvent}}) - 1$ , where  $t$  = retention time. Molecular ions were observed as ( $M + \text{Na}$ )<sup>+</sup> in the positive-ion portion of the <sup>252</sup>Cf fission fragment-induced mass spectrum.<sup>20</sup> Molar ratios for Cys are uncorrected for losses due to oxidation during acid hydrolysis (6 N HCl, 110 °C, 24 h). The counterion for the protonated amines **1b** and **1d** is assumed to be fluoride because the only acid present during purification was HF. (a) Pentapeptide **1b**:  $R_f$  (A) 0.73, (B) 0.17, (C) 0.21;  $k' = 2.27$ ;  $m/e$  (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>9</sub>SNa) calcd 554.16, found 554.22; Asp<sub>1.07</sub>Glu<sub>1.97</sub>Gly<sub>1.00</sub>Cys<sub>0.27</sub>. Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>9</sub>S·HF·0.5 H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N. (b) Hexapeptide **1d**:  $R_f$  (A) 0.69, (B) 0.13, (C) 0.14;  $k' = 2.10$ ;  $m/e$  (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>9</sub>O<sub>10</sub>SNa) calcd 611.19, found 611.23; Asp<sub>1.05</sub>Glu<sub>2.10</sub>Gly<sub>2.00</sub>Cys<sub>0.74</sub>. Anal. (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>9</sub>O<sub>10</sub>S·HF) C, H, N. (c) Acetylated hexapeptide **1f**:  $R_f$  (A) 0.85, (B) 0.42, (C) 0.24;  $k' = 3.64$ ;  $m/e$  (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>34</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>11</sub>SNa) calcd 653.20, found 653.27; Asp<sub>1.07</sub>Glu<sub>2.05</sub>Gly<sub>2.00</sub>Cys<sub>0.47</sub>. Anal. (C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>9</sub>O<sub>11</sub>S·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N; found 16.04, found 16.58. Thiolactones **1d** and **1f** contained <2% free thiol as measured with 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (Ellman's reagent).

(20) Chait, B. T.; Agosta, W. C.; Field, F. H. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.*, in press.

(21) Khan, S. A.; Pangburn, M. K.; Müller-Eberhard, H. J.; Erickson, B. W., unpublished results.

(22) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D) 2.2–2.6 (4 H, m, Glu  $\beta$ ), 2.40 (3 H, s, Ac), 2.78 (2 H, t, Glu  $\gamma$ ), 2.93 (1 H, m, Glu  $\gamma$ 1), 3.13 (1 H, m, Glu  $\gamma$ 2), 3.23 (2 H, d, Asn  $\beta$ ), 3.38 (1 H, d15 d6, Cys  $\beta$ 1), 3.96 (1 H, d15 d2, Cys  $\beta$ 2), 4.24 and 4.31 (2 H, ABq17.5, Gly  $\alpha$ ), 4.38 and 4.42 (2 H, ABq17.5, Gly  $\alpha$ ), 4.98 (1 H, d9 d5, Glu  $\alpha$ ), 5.03 (1 H, d9 d3, Glu  $\alpha$ ), and 5.17–5.28 ppm (2 H, m, Cys  $\alpha$  and Asn  $\alpha$ ).

(23) Khan, S. A.; Cowburn, D.; Erickson, B. W., unpublished results.

(24) Khan, S. A.; Erickson, B. W.; Müller-Eberhard, H. J.; Pangburn, M. K. In "Peptides: Synthesis, Structure, Function"; Rich, D. H.; Gross, E., Eds.; Pierce Chemical Co.: Rockford, IL, in press.

for the NMR spectra, and Patricia M. Bihn for valuable editorial assistance. The National Institutes of Health (AI 15301 and CA 24435) provided financial support, the National Science Foundation (PCM 79-12083) and the Dreyfus Foundation supported the 7 T Nicolet NMR spectrometer, and the NIH (RR 00862) supported the Mass Spectrometric Biotechnology Research Resource.

## Encircling of Water by Crown Compounds

George R. Newkome,\* Hellen C. R. Taylor,  
Frank R. Fronczek, Terry J. Delord, and Dalip K. Kohli

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

Fritz Vögtle

Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie  
Universität Bonn, D-5300 Bonn 1, West Germany

Received May 22, 1981

Despite the fact that various authors have stressed the importance of water complexation by crown ethers<sup>1</sup> and although several stoichiometric water complexes of crown-type ligands have been reported,<sup>2</sup> in no previous case has water been found to be encircled by an uncharged<sup>3</sup> host molecule. We report here on the first proven "neutral-component complexes" of crown hosts<sup>4</sup> (**1** and **2**) which contain water bound exclusively in the center of the cavity by hydrogen bonding with several crown ether oxygen atoms.

Polar guest compounds have recently been found to be bound by crowns.<sup>11,12</sup> Water has been proven to interact strongly with

(1) de Jong, F.; Reinhoudt, D. N.; Smit, C. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 1371–1374, 1375–1378.

(2) Vögtle, F.; Sieger, H.; Müller, W. M. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1981**, *98*, 107.

(3) The definitions for complex, adduct, addition compound, molecular compound, molecular complex associates, inclusion compound, clathrate, host-guest complex, key-lock complex, etc. seem not to be used consistently in the literature.<sup>2</sup> We propose that the above overall "neutral complexes" can be further subdivided into two classes: (a) those which are composed of two or more neutral (or uncharged) components, to be considered "neutral-component complexes"; and (b) those which are formed from one or more charged components, resulting in the formation of "charged-component complexes".

(4) Previously described water complexes of uncharged crown ethers possess either phenolic (acidic) OH<sup>5</sup> or pyridine *N*-oxide<sup>6</sup> groups to which the hydrogen bond(s) form; the remainder of the reports are actually of aza crown cations as hosts<sup>7</sup> or hydronium ion complexes. Older claims of water-containing macrocyclic polyamines<sup>8</sup> or diketones,<sup>9</sup> based mainly on IR data, have never been proven by X-ray analysis to bind the water molecules inside the cavity. A triple crown ether has been analyzed as a dihydrate, but X-ray analysis has not been conducted.<sup>10</sup>

(5) (a) Goldberg, I. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1978**, *B34*, 3387–3390. (b) Koenig, K. E.; Helgeson, R. C.; Cram, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 4018–4020.

(6) (a) Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M.; Weber, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 1130–1137. (b) Vögtle, F.; Oepen, G.; Raschofer, W. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1979**, 1577–1584.

(7) (a) Gokel, G. W.; Garcia, B. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, 317–320. (b) Khanna, R. K.; Gokel, G. W., unpublished results, 1980. (c) Similarly, the hydronium ion complex of the dicyclohexane derivative of 18-crown-6 has been discussed: Haymore, B. L.; Huffman, J. C. Fourth Symposium on Macrocyclic Compounds, Provo, Utah, Aug 1980.

(8) Zahn, H.; Spoor, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1956**, *92*, 1375–1380.

(9) Borgen, G.; Dale, J. *Chem. Commun.* **1970**, 1342–1343.

(10) Frensch, K.; Vögtle, F. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1979**, 2121–2123.

(11) (a) El Basyony, A.; Klimes, J.; Knöchel, A.; Oehler, J.; Rudolph, G. *Z. Naturforsch. b* **1976**, *31*, 1192–1200. (b) Kaufmann, R.; Knöchel, A.; Kopf, J.; Oehler, J.; Rudolph, G. *Chem. Ber.* **1977**, *110*, 2249–2253. (c) von Deuten, K.; Knöchel, A.; Kopf, J.; Oehler, J.; Rudolph, G. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1979**, 358. *J. Chem. Res. (M)* **1979**, 4035–4037. (d) Chang, C. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 2819–2822. (e) Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M. *Naturwissenschaften* **1980**, *67*, 255–256. (f) Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M. *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 2081–2085. (g) Vögtle, F.; Müller, W. M. *Ibid.* **1981**, *114*, 3179–3183.

(12) (a) Goldberg, I. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1975**, *B31*, 754–762. (b) Bandy, J. A.; Truter, M. R.; Vögtle, F. *Ibid.* **1981**, *B37*, 1568–1571. (c) Saenger, W.; Hilgenfeld, R. *Z. Naturforsch.*, in press.